



---

## Specifications for the SESAME Magnets

---

SES-TE-AP-SPC-0002

October 28, 2014

Authored by: M. Attal, E. Huttel, J.P. Koutchouk

Reviewed by:

Approved by:

Access List: ---Internal ----- External

REVISION HISTORY

Revision	Date	Description	Author

## Contents

<b>Conventions</b>	4
<b>1. Dipole</b>	4
1.1 Mechanical and alignment tolerances	4
1.1.1 Global alignment tolerances	4
1.1.2 Break-down of uncertainties on $ds$	4
1.1.3 Break-down of uncertainties on $dx$	5
1.1.4 Break-down of uncertainties on $dz$	5
1.1.5 Break-down of uncertainties on $d\phi_s$	5
1.2 Magnetic strength tolerances	5
1.2.1 Systematic imperfections	6
1.2.2 Random imperfections	6
1.3 Multipole strength tolerances	6
1.3.1 Systematic imperfections	6
1.3.2 Random imperfections	7
<b>2. Quadrupoles</b>	7
2.1 Mechanical and alignment tolerances	7
2.1.1 Global alignment tolerances	7
2.1.2 Break-down of uncertainties on $ds$	7
2.1.3 Break-down of uncertainties on $dx$ and $dz$	8
2.1.4 Break-down of uncertainties on $d\phi_s$	8
2.2 Magnetic strength tolerances	8
2.2.1 Systematic imperfections	8
2.2.2 Random imperfections	8
2.3 Multipole strength tolerances	9
2.3.1 Systematic imperfections	9
2.3.2 Random imperfections	9
<b>3. Sextupoles</b>	9
3.1 Mechanical and alignment tolerances	9
3.1.1 Global alignment tolerances	9
3.1.2 Break-down of uncertainties on $ds$	10
3.1.3 Break-down of uncertainties on $dx$ and $dz$	10
3.1.4 Break-down of uncertainties on $d\phi_s$	10
3.2 Magnetic strength tolerances	11
3.2.1 Systematic imperfections	11
3.2.2 Random imperfections	11
3.3 Multipole strength tolerances	11
3.3.1 Systematic imperfections	11
3.3.2 Random imperfections	12
<b>4. Acknowledgements</b>	12
<b>5. Sources</b>	12
<b>6. Annexes and comments</b>	12

## Conventions

### *Reference frame*

The convention for the three geometrical axes is: s for longitudinal, x and z for horizontal and vertical.

### *Statistical definition of uncertainties and tolerances*

*Uncertainties* (errors) are estimates on the accuracy of the knowledge of parameters.

*Tolerances* are upper bounds of errors estimated from beam physics calculations. All random errors and tolerances are expressed in  $\sigma$  of a random Gaussian distribution. The equivalent peak values are taken to be  $\pm 2\sigma$ , i.e. the peak-to-peak tolerances are given by  $4\sigma$ . Independent components of uncertainties are added quadratically.

### *Energy range*

The specifications are given at the top energy and are taken to be identical at injection energy.

### *Units*

All values are in mm or mrad.

## 1. Dipole

### 1.1 Mechanical and alignment tolerances

#### 1.1.1 Global alignment tolerances [s1]

<i>degree of freedom</i>	<i>rms tolerance</i>	<i>comments</i>
ds rms [mm]	0.500	provisional, not restricting
dx rms [mm]	0.500	
dzrms [mm]	0.100	
dphisrms [mrad] (roll)	0.200	

#### 1.1.2 Break-down of the uncertainties on ds [s2]

<b>ds</b>	<i>uncertainties [mm rms]</i>	<i>comments</i>
magnetic longitudinal center to mechanical long. center (estimated)	0.250	
mechanical longitudinal center to magnet reference points	0.050	mechanical references are less precise in the longitudinal direction, as compared to transverse, where the uncertainty is 5 times less
magnet support reference points to girder references	0.008	
survey accuracy for girders	0.200	
<b>combined uncertainties</b>	<b>0.325</b>	
<b>TOLERANCE</b>	<b>0.500</b>	from table 1.1.1

### 1.1.3 Break-down of uncertainties on dx [s2]

<b>dx</b>	<i>uncertainties [mm rms]</i>	<i>comments</i>
magnetic axis to magnet reference points	0.015	
magnet reference points to girder references	0.010	
survey accuracy for girders	0.100	transverse survey more accurate than longitudinal
<b>combined uncertainties</b>	<b>0.102</b>	
<b>TOLERANCE</b>	<b>0.500</b>	from table 1.1.1

### 1.1.4 Break-down of uncertainties on dz [s2]

<b>dz</b>	<i>uncertainties [mm rms]</i>	<i>comments</i>
magnetic axis to magnet reference points	0.015	
magnet reference points to girder references	0.010	
survey accuracy for girders	0.100	transverse survey more accurate than longitudinal
<b>combined uncertainties</b>	<b>0.102</b>	
<b>TOLERANCE</b>	<b>0.100</b>	from table 1.1.1

### 1.1.5 Break-down of uncertainties on $d\phi_s$ [s2]

<b><math>d\phi_s</math></b>	<i>uncertainties [mm rms]</i>	<i>comments</i>
magnetic horizontal plane to mechanical horizontal plane	0.200	
accuracy of alignment of the mechanical horizontal plane on the girders	0.050	Assumes shimming to an accuracy of $\pm 0.1$ mrad
residual tilt of girders after alignment	0.050	
<b>combined uncertainties</b>	<b>0.212</b>	
<b>TOLERANCE</b>	<b>0.200</b>	

## 1.2 Magnetic strength tolerances

The dipole magnet includes a quadrupole component. The baseline strategy will be to adjust the powering to obtain the correct global dipole field integral according to the magnetic measurements. A possible error on the quadrupole component will be taken care of by re-matching, based on the magnetic measurements. In case of a bias of the magnetic measurements, different for the combined function dipoles and the quadrupoles, the gradients of the QF and QD's will be scaled to cancel the observed tune shifts with beam.

**1.2.1 Systematic imperfections [s2]**

<i>component</i>	<i>uncertainty</i>	<i>tolerance</i>	<i>comment</i>
dipole field integral	$\pm 2 \times 10^{-4}$	not constrained; can be adjusted.	uncertainty after correction by the transfer function: the residual uncertainty is the magnetic measurement accuracy of $10^{-4}$ rms.
quadrupole field integral	$\pm 2 \times 10^{-4}$	+150 $\times 10^{-4}$ (2.83 T/m) -450 $\times 10^{-4}$ (2.66 T/m)	Can be corrected by the adjacent QD to get the designed tune, limited by the range of the QD magnetic-field. Outside of these tolerances, the magnet can be shifted sideways to modify the dipole field integral and use the transfer function to adjust the integrated gradient.

**1.2.2 Random imperfections**

<i>component</i>	<i>uncertainty</i>	<i>tolerance</i>	<i>comment</i>
dipole field integral	$3 \times 10^{-4}$ rms [s10]	$15 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	Criterion: 2 mm orbit deviation and its correction limited to 0.28 mrad; for reference, the spread of field integral measured in ALBA is $13 \times 10^{-4}$ rms.
quadrupole field integral	$3 \times 10^{-4}$ rms [s10]	$50 \times 10^{-4}$	A potential beta-beat can be corrected by adjustment of the individual powered adjacent QD; Criterion: beta beat less than 5% ; for reference, the spread of field integral measured in ALBA is $16 \times 10^{-4}$ rms.

**1.3 Multiple strength tolerances**

These tolerances are calculated in [1] either in the case of an individual multipolar error (“one-by-one”), or all imperfections combined (“global model”).

Reference radius is **20 mm**.

**1.3.1 Systematic imperfections**

<i>Field component</i>	<i>Tolerance on systematic harmonics, one by one</i>	<i>Tolerance on systematic harmonics, global model</i>	<i>comments</i>
b3	-	-	not constrained, as chromaticity can be corrected with SF/SD; higher orders found to have no impact.
b4	$\pm 15 \times 10^{-4}$ [s7]	$\pm 10 \times 10^{-4}$ [s7,s6]	
b5	$\pm 10 \times 10^{-4}$ [s7]	$\pm 10 \times 10^{-4}$ [s7,s6]	
b6	$\pm 10 \times 10^{-4}$ [s7]	$\pm 6 \times 10^{-4}$ [s7,s6]	
b7	$\pm 10 \times 10^{-4}$ [s7]	$\pm 10 \times 10^{-4}$ [s7,s6]	

### 1.3.2 Random imperfections

<i>Field component</i>	<i>Tolerance on random harmonics, one by one</i>	<i>Tolerance on random harmonics, global model</i>	<i>comments</i>
b3	$10 \times 10^{-4}$ rms [s8]		expected to be pessimistic (tracking with multipoles at the magnet ends)
b4	$5 \times 10^{-4}$ rms [s8]		
b5	$5 \times 10^{-4}$ rms [s8]		
a3	$5 \times 10^{-4}$ rms [s8]		
a4	$5 \times 10^{-4}$ rms [s8]		
a5	$5 \times 10^{-4}$ rms [s8]		

## 2. Quadrupoles

These specifications apply to the QF quadrupoles. For the QD quadrupoles, they can be relaxed at least by a factor 20 [s8].

### 2.1 Mechanical and alignment tolerances

#### 2.1.1 Global alignment tolerances [s1]

<i>degree of freedom</i>	<i>tolerance (rms)</i>	<i>unit</i>	<i>comments</i>
ds	0.500	mm	not restricting, see Annex 2.2-2
dx	0.200	mm	see Annex 2.2-1
dz	0.200	mm	see Annex 2.2-1
dφ <sub>s</sub> (roll)	0.500	mrad	see Annex 2.2-3

#### 2.1.2 Break-down of the uncertainties on ds [s2]

<i>ds</i>	<i>uncertainties [mm rms]</i>	<i>comments</i>
magnetic longitudinal center to mechanical long. center (estimated)	0.100	
mechanical longitudinal center to magnet reference points	0.050	mechanical references are less precise in the longitudinal direction, as compared to transverse, where the uncertainty is 5 times less
magnet support reference points to girder references	0.050	
survey accuracy for girders	0.200	
<b>combined uncertainties</b>	<b>0.235</b>	
<b>TOLERANCE</b>	<b>0.500</b>	from table 3.1.1

**2.1.3 Break-down of uncertainties on dx and dz [s2]**

<b>dx &amp; dz</b>	<b>uncertainties [mm rms]</b>	<b>comments</b>
magnetic axis to magnet reference points	0.015	
magnet reference points to girder references	0.010	
survey accuracy for girders	0.100	transverse survey more accurate than longitudinal
<b>combined uncertainties</b>	<b>0.102</b>	
<b>TOLERANCE</b>	<b>0.200</b>	from table 3.1.1

**2.1.4 Break-down of uncertainties on dφs [s2]**

<b>dφs</b>	<b>uncertainties [mradrms]</b>	<b>comments</b>
magnetic horizontal plane to mechanical horizontal plane	0.200	
accuracy of alignment of the mechanical horizontal plane on the girders	0.050	Assumes shimming to an accuracy of ± 0.1 mrad
residual tilt of girders after alignment	0.050	
<b>combined uncertainties</b>	<b>0.205</b>	
<b>TOLERANCE</b>	<b>0.500</b>	from table 3.1.1

**2.2 Magnetic strength tolerances**

**2.2.1 Systematic imperfections [s2]**

<b>Type</b>	<b>uncertainty</b>	<b>tolerance</b>	<b>comment</b>
QF & QD	± 5 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> [s5]	not constrained; TF can be adjusted.	uncertainty after correction by the transfer function: a systematic bias of the QF/QD measurement bench would introduce a systematic error; it can be corrected with beam, by scaling all QF/QD strength to restore both tunes.

**2.2.2 Random imperfections**

<b>type</b>	<b>uncertainty</b>	<b>tolerance</b>	<b>comment</b>
QF & QD	0.1 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> rms[s5]	not constrained; individual TF's can be adjusted.	uncertainty after correction by the transfer function: a systematic bias of the QF/QD measurement bench would introduce a systematic error; measured beta beat can be used for correction if the orbit sampling by the BPM is sufficient.

### 2.3 Multipole strength tolerances [s1, s6]

These tolerances are calculated in [1] either in the case of an individual multipolar error (“one-by-one”), or all imperfections combined (“global model”).  
Reference radius is 24 mm.

#### 2.3.1 Systematic imperfections

<i>Field component</i>	<i>Tolerance on systematic harmonics, one by one</i>	<i>Tolerance on systematic harmonics, global model</i>	<i>comments</i>
b6	$\pm 50 \times 10^{-4}$	$\pm 6 \times 10^{-4}$	
b10	$\pm 10 \times 10^{-4}$	$\pm 10 \times 10^{-4}$	
b14	$\pm 20 \times 10^{-4}$	$\pm 20 \times 10^{-4}$	

#### 2.3.2 Random imperfections

<i>Field component</i>	<i>Tolerance on random harmonics, one by one</i>	<i>Tolerance on random harmonics, global model</i>	<i>comments</i>
b3			
a3	$700 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	$10 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	[s6], [s7]
b4	$100 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	$5 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	[s6], sensitive [s7]
a4	$150 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	$10 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	[s6], [s7]
b5	$100 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	$5 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	[s6], sensitive [s7], [s8]
a5	$100 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	$50 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	[s6], [s7]

## 3. Sextupoles

### 3.1 Mechanical and alignment tolerances

#### 3.1.1 Global alignment tolerances [s1]

<i>degree of freedom</i>	<i>tolerance (rms)</i>	<i>unit</i>	<i>comments</i>
ds	0.500	mm	not restricting, see Annex 2.2-2
dx	0.200	mm	see Annex 2.2-1
dz	0.200	mm	see Annex 2.2-1
dφ <sub>s</sub> (roll)	0.500	mrad	see Annex 2.2-3

**3.1.2 Break-down of the uncertainties on  $ds$  [s2]**

<b>ds</b>	<b>uncertainties [mm rms]</b>	<b>comments</b>
magnetic longitudinal center to mechanical long. center (estimated)	0.100	
mechanical longitudinal center to magnet reference points	0.050	mechanical references are less precise in the longitudinal direction, as compared to transverse, where the uncertainty is 5 times less
magnet support reference points to girder references	0.050	
survey accuracy for girders	0.200	
<b>combined uncertainties</b>	<b>0.235</b>	
<b>TOLERANCE</b>	<b>0.500</b>	from table 3.1.1

**3.1.3 Break-down of uncertainties on  $dx$  and  $dz$  [s2]**

<b>dx &amp; dz</b>	<b>uncertainties [mm rms]</b>	<b>comments</b>
magnetic axis to magnet reference points	0.015	
magnet reference points to girder references	0.010	
survey accuracy for girders	0.100	transverse survey more accurate than longitudinal
<b>combined uncertainties</b>	<b>0.100</b>	
<b>TOLERANCE</b>	<b>0.200</b>	from table 3.1.1

**3.1.4 Break-down of uncertainties on  $d\phi_s$  [s2]**

<b>dphis</b>	<b>uncertainties [mradrms]</b>	<b>comments</b>
magnetic horizontal plane to mechanical horizontal plane	0.200	
accuracy of alignment of the mechanical horizontal plane on the girders	0.050	Assumes shimming to an accuracy of $\pm 0.1$ mrad
residual tilt of girders after alignment	0.050	
<b>combined uncertainties</b>	<b>0.205</b>	
<b>TOLERANCE</b>	<b>0.500</b>	from table 3.1.1

### 3.2 Magnetic strength tolerances

#### 3.2.1 Systematic imperfections [s2]

Type	uncertainty	tolerance	comment
SF & SD	$\pm 5 \times 10^{-4}$ [s3]	none	can be corrected via the transfer function based on magnetic measurements, down to the absolute accuracy of the measuring bench. Can be further calibrated with beam-based measurement of chromaticity.

#### 3.2.2 Random imperfections

By design, the sextupole strength is subject to errors driven by the settings of the dipole and skew quadrupole circuits, which are considered essentially random.

Type	uncertainty	tolerance	comment
SF & SD	$<30 \times 10^{-4}$ rms [s3]	$100 \times 10^{-4}$ rms [s4]	The large tolerance may stem from tracking with b3 alone. For reference, the spread of field integral measured in ALBA is $12 \times 10^{-4}$ rms. The LEP tolerance was $40 \times 10^{-4}$ rms.

### 3.3 Multipole strength tolerances

These tolerances are calculated in [1] either in the case of an individual multipolar error (“one-by-one”), or all imperfections combined (“global model”).

Reference radius is 24 mm. These prescriptions shall be respected for combined excitation of the sextupole and corrector windings, the latter producing the maximum kick used in simulations, i.e. .28 mrd [1]. Indeed the correctors induce decapole components which became worrisome for the 7.24/5.20 working point [7] but less to the 7.24/6.20. In consequence the working point had to be moved to become less critical [8]. For random errors, it is assumed that the main harmonics to be considered are n=4 and 5, upright and skew.

#### 3.3.1 Systematic imperfections

Field component	Tolerance on systematic harmonics, one by one	Tolerance on systematic harmonics, global model	comments
b9	$\pm 200 \times 10^{-4}$	$\pm 20 \times 10^{-4}$	possibly sensitive, see Annex 2.4-1
b15	$\pm 10 \times 10^{-4}$	$\pm 10 \times 10^{-4}$	

### 3.3.2 Random imperfections

<i>Field component</i>	<i>Tolerance on random harmonics, one by one</i>	<i>Tolerance on random harmonics, global model</i>	<i>comments</i>
b4	$3000 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	$1000 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	
b5	$2000 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	$1000 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	
a4	negligible effect	negligible effect	
a5	$2000 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	$1000 \times 10^{-4}$ rms	

## 4. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their deep thanks to thank A. Milanese, D. Tomasini, L. Walkiers for providing the design-parameter, magnetic-properties and mechanical tolerances for the magnets.

## 5. Sources

- [s1]: E. Huttel et al., summarized in “ strategy for magnet tolerancesv2EH.docx”, 27/03/2014  
[s2]: L. Walckiers et al., summarized in “strategy for magnet tolerancesv2.0.docx”, 06/03/2014  
[s3]: M. Ebbeni, L. Walckiers et al., measurement of the CERN sextupole prototype, 2014, to be released.  
[s4]: M. Attal, Tolerance on sextupole strength errors, July 2014.  
[s5]: M. Ebbeni, presentation to TAC, 29/09/2014.  
[s6]: M. Attal, E. Huttel et al., Multipole and Alignment error Limits for the SESAME Storage ring Magnets, IPAC2014  
[s7]: M. Attal, E. Huttel, The magnetic error tolerances in SESAME storage ring magnets, SESAME Note SES-TE-AP-TN-004,2014.  
[s8]: E. Huttel, Multipole tolerances of the SESAME storage ring magnets, 25-05-2014, SES-TE-AP-TN-0002 Rev 0.1.  
[s9]: E. Huttel, Effect of random multipole errors on the dynamic aperture and beta beat, 19-01-2013  
[s10]: Estimates by D. Tommasini, 23/10/2014.

## 6. Annexes and Comments

### 6.1 Tolerances on beam parameters

<i>Quantity</i>	<i>tolerance</i>	<i>justification</i>
Maximum acceptable H orbit rms distortion before correction	2 mm	Reasonable corrector strength for correction 0.5 mrad [4]
Maximum acceptable V orbit rms distortion before correction	2 mm	
Maximum acceptable H dispersion rms distortion	Not specified	emittance blow-up less than...
Maximum acceptable linear betatron coupling before correction	Not specified	Reasonable corrector strength for correction
Maximum acceptable $\beta$ beating (H or V)	5%	loss of brilliance less than
Maximum correctable tune shifts	1	beta beating less than xx% flexibility in choice of working point

Required dynamic aperture	~ equal to the physical aperture	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>injection: minimize loss of injected beam by betatron injection</li> <li>top energy:</li> </ul>
Maximum allowable orbit drift over 8 hrs	10% of beam size	
Maximum allowable tune drift over 8 hrs	0.01	

## 6.2 Alignment

1. Alignment and dipole strength errors assumed for the SESAME design: M. Attal, Technical Note O-6 , 2006 ([http://sesame.org.jo/sesame/images/sesame-publications/Technical\\_Notes/O-6.pdf](http://sesame.org.jo/sesame/images/sesame-publications/Technical_Notes/O-6.pdf)) and new 2014 iteration:

1 rms error	Dipole						Quadrupole QF		Sextupole SV	
	dB/B $2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	dh 0.2 mm	dv 0.2 mm	$d\phi_s$ 0.2 mrad	$d\phi_y$ 0.2 mrad	$d\phi_x$ 0.2 mrad	dx 0.2 mm	dv 0.2 mm	dx 0.2 mm	dv 0.2 mm

M. Attal, the magnetic error tolerances on SESAME storage ring magnets, 7-2-2013

Misalignment	Dipole	Quadrupole	Sextupole
$dx = dy = ds$ (mm)	0.2	0.1	0.1
$d\phi_x = d\phi_y = d\phi_s$ (mrad)	0.2	0.1	0.1

2. The longitudinal tolerance  $ds$  is provisional and not restrictive on machine performance. It will be confirmed or updated after the measurement of the dipole prototype in ALBA. It is agreed to increase it to 0.5 mm (or beyond) from its initial estimate of 0.1 or 0.2 mm given the absence of optical impact, EH mail 22/4/2014.
3. For all magnet types, the  $d\phi_x$  and  $d\phi_z$  are uncritical and are already determined from the  $dx$  and  $dy$  tolerances and need not be specified (EH). For  $d\phi_s$ , it is agreed to increase its tolerance from 0.1 mradrms to 0.5 mradrms for sextupoles in “strategy for magnet tolerancesv3” 14-5-2014.
4. The accuracy of the magnetic alignment of the individual magnets of series like we have (several tens of magnets) strongly depends on the training of, and time spent by, the bench operators (LW).
5. Provisions for tuning the alignment in SESAME and on the measuring bench
  - The design of the SESAME magnet stands foresees adjustment by shims of 50  $\mu$ m. The measuring bench has the same provisions.
  - Concerning the quadrupole and sextupole the roll can be corrected on a 0.1 mrad level mechanically, at girder assembly (since shim adjustment)
  - For the CERN/SESAME measuring bench an uncertainty of +/- 0.5 mrad error is stated if no particular effort required during measurement campaign compared to 0.1 mrad for SOLEIL and others.
6. Comparison with other machines:
  - LEP: transverse alignment to 0.15 mm rms, roll to 0.25 mradrms for a coupling ratio of 4%.
  - ALBA, SOLEIL and Diamond: transverse alignment to 0.025 mm rms
  - SOLEIL tolerances for a coupling ratio of 1% (Alain Lestrade, IWAA2004, CERN, Geneva, 4-7 October 2004)

rms values	dipoles	quadrupoles	girders
ds [mm]	.5	.2	.5
dx [mm]	.5	.02	.05
dz [mm]	.5	.02	.05
$\Phi_s$ [m rad]	.1	.1	.1
$\Phi_s$ [m rad]	.2	1	1
$\Phi_s$ [m rad]	.2	1	1

7. ESRF: accuracy of the alignment instrumentation reported to be 0.11 mm rms (D. Martin, G. Gala, IWAA2004), for typical coupling ratio less than 1%.
8. Mail from Dominique 7/5/2014: Pour l'alignement vertical, il me semble assez aisé de le réaliser dans les 0.1 mm, même en absolu sur l'ensemble de l'anneau car on peut réaliser un cheminement assez précis. Je ne sais pas quelles sont les tolérances demandées pour l'alignement transversal mais il me paraîtrait assez judicieux de faire un réseau de points à l'extérieur de l'anneau donc dans le hall et de rentrer les coordonnées des points dans l'anneau via les trous des lignes qui sont vides pour l'instant, de matérialiser des points dans l'anneau et ensuite de faire un cheminement polygonal (angles et distances) entre ces points. Cela permettra au moins d'avoir un bon réseau initial et d'aligner les éléments par rapport à ces points. Quand même pas trop de problème pour l'alignement long. dans les 0.5 mm..... En fait, on peut atteindre 0.1 mm pour les petites machines avec du soin et des équipements appropriés, mais il n'y a jamais eu de demande.

### 6.3 Magnet strength tolerances

1. Reference sextupole strength and perturbation by the orbit corrector (jpk 26/6/2014):

case	Conditions	SF		SD	
		integrated strength	power supply current [A]	integrated strength	power supply current [A]
	magnet ratings	27 T/m	223	27 T/m	223
1	$Q^z=5$		73		115
2	$Q_x^z=1, Q_v^z=2$		60		99
3	$Q^z \sim 0$		56		87

sextupole current [A]	dipole corrector current [A]	perturbation of the sextupole strength	corresponding rms error [ $10^{-4}$ ]
250	20	2.3%	115
223	10	1.3%	65
115 (SD, case 1)	10	2.5%	125
73 (SF, case 1)	10	3.9%	195
99 (SD, case 2)	10	2.9%	145
60 (SF, case 2)	10	4.8%	240
87 (SD, case 3)	10	3.3%	165
56 (SF, case 3)	10	5.1%	255

This table was calculated from line 1 given by Louis, assuming only linear dependences. The error specification is  $100 \times 10^{-4}$  rms, extrapolated from studies of a case with errors of  $30 \times 10^{-4}$  rms. We are almost on order of magnitude higher than the case tested for this first measurement at maximum sextupole current.

- The uncertainty in table 3.2.2 is derived from the second measurement at the reference current of . ???

## 6.4 Multipole tolerances

### 6.4.1 General

- From tracking harmonics one by one to a global model, there can be some arbitrariness in reducing the various multipoles to achieve the wanted dynamic aperture. The harmonic tolerances for the global model are thus sufficient but not necessarily necessary.

### 6.4.2 Quadrupoles

- ALBA and SOLEIL specifications and achievements for various types of quadrupoles.

The tolerances are given for  $\text{SQRT}(A^2+B^2)/B3$ . All values in  $10^{-4}$  units. Ref radius is 25 mm for ALBA and 30 mm for SOLEIL.

order	tolerance ALBA [ $10^{-4}$ ]	harmonic	measurements ALBA		measurements SOLEIL	
			average	rms	average	rms
2				10		
3	8 or 10	b3	-0.4 to 1.5	$\leq 3$	-1.6 to 2.9	$\leq 2$
		a3	-1.4 to 0.4	$\leq 3.4$	0.5	3.2
4	6 or 8	b4	-1.5 to 0	$\leq 2.4$	-3.4 to -8.6	$\leq 3.7$
		a4	-0.3 to 0.3	$\leq 1.2$		
5	4 or 6	b5				
		a5				
6	2	b6	-0.9 to 0.9	$\leq 1.3$	0.7 to 2.4	0.5
		a6				
10	4	b10	-3.5 to -2.0	$\leq 0.4$	0.7 to 1.9	0.1
14	2	b14	-0.8	0.3	1.0	0.1
rest	1					

Magnetic center deviations: within +/- 0.03mm, typically 0.01 mm rms, tilt 0.04 mrad.

ALBA: Presentation by M. Pont, July 2011

SOLEIL: P. Brunell at al: Magnetic Measurements Results of the Dipoles, EPAC2006, Quadrupoles and Sextupoles of the Soleil Storage Ring.

### 6.4.3 Sextupoles

- ALBA specs and results

The tolerances are given for  $\text{SQRT}(A^2+B^2)/B3$ . All values in  $10^{-4}$  units. Ref radius is 25 mm.

<i>order</i>	<i>tolerance</i> <i>[10<sup>-4</sup>]</i>	<i>harmonic</i>	<i>measurements</i>		<i>LEP</i> <i>tolerances at</i> <i>r=25 mm</i>
			<i>average</i>	<i>rms</i>	
3		b3		12	
4	10	b4	-0.7	3.4	5rms
		a4	-0.7	3.2	
5	8	b5	-1.6	1.5	2rms
		a5	1.1	1.7	
6	1	b6	0.2	0.7	1 rms
		a6	1.6	1.5	
9	5	b9	-4.3	0.4	< 1 (systematic)
15	7	b15	2.3	0.3	
rest	1				

Magnetic center deviation: within +/- 0.03mm.

- LEP specifications for sextupoles random errors: all orders  $< 200 \times 10^{-4}$  at R=59 mm.